

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/623,641	ENEVOLDSEN, PER A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO. (3) _____.

(2) Dan Cleveland, applicant's representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 rejection

Claims discussed:

12,16 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Cleveland to propose an Examiner's amendment for claims 12,16 and 29. Mr. Cleveland studied the proposal and proposed additional changes in accordance with the enclosed Examiner's amendment. Both parties agreed to make the changes as an Examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..